All three project outputs are linked to supporting strengthening of inclusive political participation, through the increase of parliamentary citizen engagement and outreach activities and increase of legislatures transparency. Furthermore, the entire project is designed to increase accountability of public State institutions in the pacific – through the strengthening of the parliamentary oversight of the Executive branch. The strengthening of the overall accountability to stakeholders is therefore at the same time the purpose of a number of the activities, as well as the expected outcome of the project.

Part B. Identifying and Managing Social and Environmental Risks

ion?	QUESTION 4: What is the overall project risk categorization?	4: What is the	QUESTION	
The constraint on public space may limit ambition of civil society in engaging with the project but the project design provides with both analysis capacity and alternative activities to support the objectives of the project.		Low	⊏ = ယ ယ	Risk 2. Is there a risk that project- affected persons do not have the capacity to claim their rights? – Based on the implementation of the previous phase of the project there is a risk that governments (in the participating countries) will try to restrain the capacity of civil society to interact with independent agencies and the budget cycle, especially during certain political cycles (such as pre- electoral periods or periods of political unstability).
The lack of independence of institutions may constraint them in engaging with the project but the project design provides with both analysis capacity and alternative activities to support the objectives of the project.		Low		Risk 1: Is there a risk that government agencies do not have the capacity to meet their obligations in the project? – Based on the implementation of the previous phase of the project there is a risk that governments (in the participating countries) will try to restrain the capacity of oversight agencies including parliaments to exert their independence and ability to interact with external stakeholders.
Description of assessment and management measures for risks rated as Moderate, Substantial or High	Comments (optional)	Significan ce (Low, Moderate Substantia I, High)	Impact and Likelihoo d (1-5)	Risk Description (broken down by event, cause, impact)
QUESTION 6: Describe the assessment and management measures for each risk rated Moderate, Substantial or High	QUESTION 3: What is the level of significance of the potential social and environmental risks? Note: Respond to Questions 4 and 5below before proceeding to Question 5	QUESTION 3: What is the the potential social and e Note: Respond to Question proceeding to Question 5	QUESTION the potenti Note: Resp proceeding	QUESTION 2: What are the Potential Social and Environmental Risks? Note: Complete SESP Attachment 1 before responding to Question 2.



Social and Environmental Screening Template

Project Information

PI	Project Information	
	1. Project Title	Strengthening Legislatures' Capacity in Pacific Island Countries (SLIP) Project - Phase II
2.	2. Project Number (i.e. Atlas project ID, PIMS+)	TBC
ω	3. Location (Global/Region/Country)	Fiji MCO (Pacific States)
4.	4. Project stage (Design or Implementation)	design
5	5. Date	23.2.23

Part A. Integrating Programming Principles to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability

QUESTION 1: How Does the Project Integrate the Programming Principles in Order to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability?

Briefly describe in the space below how the project mainstreams the human rights-based approach

capabilities of parliamentary committees and the capacities of Members of Parliament, to scrutinize bills from a human rights perspective and monitor government policies and actions regarding the realization of human rights commitments, as well as addressing inequalities. The project will enable marginalized individuals and groups to claim and achieve their right of political participation through improved access and services provided by their elected members of the state and national understanding of their roles and responsibilities to ensure implementation and respect of HR by national institutions and agencies. Further, the project enhances the expected to ensure that Members of Legislatures and staff have an increased understanding of human rights standards and commitments, as well as a clear The project design includes measures to assist PIC Legislatures to realize and implement human rights as identified under the national Constitutions. The project is

Briefly describe in the space below how the project is likely to improve gender equality and women's empowerment

to contribute to political processes. Based on Gender Equality principles, the project will capacitate women Legislators and male Legislators about gender equality equality and empowerment, with the Results Framework including outputs and indicators to address gender inequality. Gender is effectively mainstreamed, and all To the extent possible, the project will incorporate gender-disaggregated data and gender statistics, as well as specific, measurable indicators related to gender Further, the project will build the capabilities of parliamentary committees to conduct gender impact analysis of proposed bills and reports of government agencies. three project outputs have gender equality as a significant objective (gender marker GEN2). The project design includes measures for increasing the meaningful participation of women in politics, in strengthening individual knowledge and capacity of womer

Briefly describe in the space below how the project mainstreams sustainability and resilience

including gender mainstreaming, and reducing inequality, while at the same time promoting inclusive economic growth, action to combat climate change and its impacts, and conserve and sustainably use natural resources. The project will support the ongoing digital transformation of the Parliament, thus reducing the carbon legislatures will be mainly through trainings and technical assistance, ensuring that the SDGs and development issues are mainstreamed across legislatures' work, The project does not have any negative environmental effects; and will ensure that activities implemented consider indirect environmental. The support provided to footprint of the partner institution.

Briefly describe in the space below how the project strengthens accountability to stakeholders



SESP Attachment 1. Social and Environmental Risk Screening Checklist

INSTI Temp risk c	RUCTIONS: The risk screening checklist will assist in answering Questions 2-6 of the Screening late. Answers to the checklist questions help to (1) identify potential risks, (2) determine the overall ategorization of the project, and (3) determine required level of assessment and management ures. Refer to the SES toolkit for further guidance on addressing screening questions.	
Overa	arching Principle: Leave No One Behind	Answer (Yes/No)
Huma	an Rights	1141-11
P.1	Have local communities or individuals raised human rights concerns regarding the project (e.g. during the stakeholder engagement process, grievance processes, public statements)?	No
P.2	Is there a risk that duty-bearers (e.g. government agencies) do not have the capacity to meet their obligations in the project?	No
P.3	Is there a risk that rights-holders (e.g. project-affected persons) do not have the capacity to claim their rights?	No
Would	d the project potentially involve or lead to:	
P.4	adverse impacts on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social or cultural) of the affected population and particularly of marginalized groups?	No
P.5	inequitable or discriminatory impacts on affected populations, particularly people living in poverty or marginalized or excluded individuals or groups, including persons with disabilities?	No
P.6	restrictions in availability, quality of and/or access to resources or basic services, in particular to marginalized individuals or groups, including persons with disabilities?	No
P.7	exacerbation of conflicts among and/or the risk of violence to project-affected communities and individuals?	No
Gend	er Equality and Women's Empowerment	
P.8	Have women's groups/leaders raised gender equality concerns regarding the project, (e.g. during the stakeholder engagement process, grievance processes, public statements)?	No
Would	d the project potentially involve or lead to:	
P.9	adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situation of women and girls?	No
P.10	reproducing discriminations against women based on gender, especially regarding participation in design and implementation or access to opportunities and benefits?	No
P.11	limitations on women's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, taking into account different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and services? For example, activities that could lead to natural resources degradation or depletion in communities who depend on these resources for their livelihoods and well being	No
P.12	exacerbation of risks of gender-based violence?	No
	For example, through the influx of workers to a community, changes in community and household power dynamics, increased exposure to unsafe public places and/or transport, etc.	
	inability and Resilience: Screening questions regarding risks associated with sustainability and not are encompassed by the Standard-specific questions below	

¹ Prohibited grounds of discrimination include race, ethnicity, sex, age, language, disability, sexual orientation, gender identity, religion, political or other opinion, national or social or geographical origin, property, birth or other status including as an indigenous person or as a member of a minority. References to "women and men" or similar is understood to include women and men, boys and girls, and other groups discriminated against based on their gender identities, such as transgender and transsexual people.

Acco	untability	= 170
Would	I the project potentially involve or lead to:	
P.13	exclusion of any potentially affected stakeholders, in particular marginalized groups and excluded individuals (including persons with disabilities), from fully participating in decisions that may affect them?	No
P.14	grievances or objections from potentially affected stakeholders?	No
P.15	risks of retaliation or reprisals against stakeholders who express concerns or grievances, or who seek to participate in or to obtain information on the project?	No
Proje	ct-Level Standards	
Stand	ard 1: Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Natural Resource Management	
Would	the project potentially involve or lead to:	
1.1	adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. modified, natural, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services?	No
	For example, through habitat loss, conversion or degradation, fragmentation, hydrological changes	
1.2	activities within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including (but not limited to) legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities?	No
1.3	changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods? (Note: if restrictions and/or limitations of access to lands would apply, refer to Standard 5)	No
1.4	risks to endangered species (e.g. reduction, encroachment on habitat)?	No
1.5	exacerbation of illegal wildlife trade?	No
1.6	introduction of invasive alien species?	No
1.7	adverse impacts on soils?	No
1.8	harvesting of natural forests, plantation development, or reforestation?	No
1.9	significant agricultural production?	No
1.10	animal husbandry or harvesting of fish populations or other aquatic species?	No
1.11	significant extraction, diversion or containment of surface or ground water? For example, construction of dams, reservoirs, river basin developments, groundwater extraction	No
1.12	handling or utilization of genetically modified organisms/living modified organisms?2	No
1.13	utilization of genetic resources? (e.g. collection and/or harvesting, commercial development) ³	No
1.14	adverse transboundary or global environmental concerns?	No
Stand	ard 2: Climate Change and Disaster Risks	
Would	the project potentially involve or lead to:	
2.1	areas subject to hazards such as earthquakes, floods, landslides, severe winds, storm surges, tsunami or volcanic eruptions?	No
2.2	outputs and outcomes sensitive or vulnerable to potential impacts of climate change or disasters?	No

 ² See the <u>Convention on Biological Diversity</u> and its <u>Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety</u>.
 ³ See the <u>Convention on Biological Diversity</u> and its <u>Nagoya Protocol</u> on access and benefit sharing from use of genetic resources.

	For example, through increased precipitation, drought, temperature, salinity, extreme events, earthquakes	
2.3	increases in vulnerability to climate change impacts or disaster risks now or in the future (also known as maladaptive or negative coping practices)?	No
	For example, changes to land use planning may encourage further development of floodplains, potentially increasing the population's vulnerability to climate change, specifically flooding	
2.4	increases of greenhouse gas emissions, black carbon emissions or other drivers of climate change?	No
Stan	dard 3: Community Health, Safety and Security	
Woul	d the project potentially involve or lead to:	
3.1	construction and/or infrastructure development (e.g. roads, buildings, dams)? (Note: the GEF does not finance projects that would involve the construction or rehabilitation of large or complex dams)	No
3.2	air pollution, noise, vibration, traffic, injuries, physical hazards, poor surface water quality due to runoff, erosion, sanitation?	No
3.3	harm or losses due to failure of structural elements of the project (e.g. collapse of buildings or infrastructure)?	No
3.4	risks of water-borne or other vector-borne diseases (e.g. temporary breeding habitats), communicable and noncommunicable diseases, nutritional disorders, mental health?	No
3.5	transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials (e.g. explosives, fuel and other chemicals during construction and operation)?	No
3.6	adverse impacts on ecosystems and ecosystem services relevant to communities' health (e.g. food, surface water purification, natural buffers from flooding)?	No
3.7	influx of project workers to project areas?	No
3.8	engagement of security personnel to protect facilities and property or to support project activities?	No
Stan	dard 4: Cultural Heritage	7.8
Woul	d the project potentially involve or lead to:	
4.1	activities adjacent to or within a Cultural Heritage site?	No
4.2	significant excavations, demolitions, movement of earth, flooding or other environmental changes?	No
4.3	adverse impacts to sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)? (Note: projects intended to protect and conserve Cultural Heritage may also have inadvertent adverse impacts)	No
4.4	alterations to landscapes and natural features with cultural significance?	No
4.5	utilization of tangible and/or intangible forms (e.g. practices, traditional knowledge) of Cultural Heritage for commercial or other purposes?	No
Stan	dard 5: Displacement and Resettlement	
Woul	d the project potentially involve or lead to:	
5.1	temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement (including people without legally recognizable claims to land)?	No
5.2	economic displacement (e.g. loss of assets or access to resources due to land acquisition or access restrictions – even in the absence of physical relocation)?	No

5.3	risk of forced evictions?4	No
5.4	impacts on or changes to land tenure arrangements and/or community based property rights/customary rights to land, territories and/or resources?	No
Stanc	ard 6: Indigenous Peoples	
Would	the project potentially involve or lead to:	
6.1	areas where indigenous peoples are present (including project area of influence)?	No
6.2	activities located on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No
6.3	impacts (positive or negative) to the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and traditional livelihoods of indigenous peoples (regardless of whether indigenous peoples possess the legal titles to such areas, whether the project is located within or outside of the lands and territories inhabited by the affected peoples, or whether the indigenous peoples are recognized as indigenous peoples by the country in question)? If the answer to screening question 6.3 is "yes", then the potential risk impacts are considered	No
	significant and the project would be categorized as either Substantial Risk or High Risk	
6.4	the absence of culturally appropriate consultations carried out with the objective of achieving FPIC on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned?	No
6.5	the utilization and/or commercial development of natural resources on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No
6.6	forced eviction or the whole or partial physical or economic displacement of indigenous peoples, including through access restrictions to lands, territories, and resources? Consider, and where appropriate ensure, consistency with the answers under Standard 5 above	No
6.7	adverse impacts on the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them?	No
6.8	risks to the physical and cultural survival of indigenous peoples?	No
6.9	impacts on the Cultural Heritage of indigenous peoples, including through the commercialization or use of their traditional knowledge and practices?	No
	Consider, and where appropriate ensure, consistency with the answers under Standard 4 above.	
Stan	dard 7: Labor and Working Conditions	
Woul	d the project potentially involve or lead to: (note: applies to project and contractor workers)	
7.1	working conditions that do not meet national labour laws and international commitments?	No
7.2	working conditions that may deny freedom of association and collective bargaining?	No
7.3	use of child labour?	No
7.4	use of forced labour?	No
7.5	discriminatory working conditions and/or lack of equal opportunity?	No
7.6	occupational health and safety risks due to physical, chemical, biological and psychosocial hazards (including violence and harassment) throughout the project life-cycle?	No
Stan	dard 8: Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency	
Woul	d the project potentially involve or lead to:	

⁴ Forced eviction is defined here as the permanent or temporary removal against their will of individuals, families or communities from the homes and/or land which they occupy, without the provision of, and access to, appropriate forms of legal or other protection. Forced evictions constitute gross violations of a range of internationally recognized human rights.

8.1	the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts?	No
8.2	the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)?	No
8.3	the manufacture, trade, release, and/or use of hazardous materials and/or chemicals?	No
8.4	the use of chemicals or materials subject to international bans or phase-outs? For example, DDT, PCBs and other chemicals listed in international conventions such as the Montreal Protocol, Minamata Convention, Basel Convention, Rotterdam Convention, Stockholm Convention	No
8.5	the application of pesticides that may have a negative effect on the environment or human health?	No
8.6	significant consumption of raw materials, energy, and/or water?	No

5. 1	4	ω	2. (Ove	Bas Prin				Are				<u>Is a</u>	Que					
Displacement and Resettlement	Cultural Heritage	Community Health, Safety and Security	Climate Change and Disaster Risks	Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Natural Resource Management	Accountability	Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment	Human Rights	Overarching Principle: Leave No One Behind	Based on identified <u>risks,</u> which Principles/Project-level Standards triggered?			n yos, mairato ovoian spo	Are management plans required? (check if "yes)			if yes, indicate overall type and status	ls assessment required? (check if "yes")	Question only required for Moderate, Substantial and High Risk proj	QUESTION 5: Based on the identified risks and risk catego triggered? (check all tha	High Risk	Substantial Risk	Moderate Risk	
																		High Ris	d risk c (check				
								N/A	Comments (not required)	☐ ESMF (Environmental and Social Management Framework)	☐ ESMP (Environmental and Social Management Plan which may include range of targeted plans)	Gender Action Plan, Emergency Response Plan, Waste Management Plan, others)		☐ SESA (Strategic Environmental and Social Assessment)	☐ ESIA (Environmental and Social Impact Assessment)	☐ Targeted assessment(s)		k projects	ied risks and risk categorization, what requirements of the SES are triggered? (check all that apply)				
									d)								Status? (completed, planned)		he SES are				



8. Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency	7. Labour and Working Conditions	6. Indigenous Peoples
ςγ 		

Final Sign Off

Final Screening at the design-stage is not complete until the following signatures are included

Signature	Date	Description
QA Assessor		UNDP staff member responsible for the project, typically a UNDP Programme Officer. Final signature confirms they bave "checked" to ensure that the SESP is adequately conducted.
QA Approver	Y de	UNDP senior manager, typically the UNDP Deputy Country Director (DCD), Country Director (CD), Deputy Resident Representative (DRR), or Resident Representative (RR). The QA Approver cannot also be the QA Assessor. Final signature confirms they have "cleared" the SESP prior to submittal to the PAC.
PAC Chair	2	UNDP chair of the PAC. In some cases PAC Chair may also be the QA Approver. Final signature confirms that the SESP was considered as part of the project appraisal and considered in recommendations of the PAC.

